

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIT	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/919,105	07/31/2001		Jessica Malmborg	P01.0225	3462
7590 01/03/2006				EXAMINER	
Schiff Hardin	& Waite		BONSHOCK, DENNIS G		
Patent Departr Sears Tower -		ır	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
233 South Wa			2173		
Chicago, IL	60606		DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/919,105				
	,		EXAMINER	
		,		
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				20051229

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Reply Brief

The reply brief filed 10-26-2005 has been considered and entered.

The amendment after final has been entered in accordance with the examiner agreeing to the applicant's statement with regard to "Status of Amendments After Final" in the Examiner's Ansewer and the examiner stating "Please Enter" on the cover sheet of the amendment (8-16-05). Claims 13 and 16 will be permitted to be argued independently, as grouping of claims is no longer practiced, these independent grounds should be placed under the "Grounds to Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal" not "Issues for Review". Furthermore, the Examiner submits that the words "relation" and "inter-relation" are words that have a definition in the art and need not be defined by the examiner. The Examiner disargrees with the Applicant's argument that the Examiner hasn't rebutted the Appelant's contention that if the Schaefer et al. reference were modified to have an appearance close to that of Feller, sufficient motivation is believed to have been presented for the partical modification of the interface.

The application has been forwarded to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for decision on the appeal.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173